An investigation of the rhythmic acoustic differences between normal and shouted voices

Kostis Dimos, Lei He, Volker Dellwo, Phonetics Laboratory, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland {kostis.dimos|lei.he|volker.dellwo}@uzh.ch

This study aims at investigating rhythmic characteristics of shouting and comparing them to normal speech. There have been a number of studies examining segmental characteristics (Traunmüller & Eriksson 2000) in high vocal effort speech which revealed considerable differences between the two conditions; however there has been little research in prosodic, and especially, rhythmic characteristics of shouted speech. We expect that shouted speech will exhibit distinctive rhythmic characteristics as the control over the articulators varies a lot.

Ten, gender balanced, Zurich German speakers have been recorded producing semi-spontaneous utterances in both normal and shouted modalities. By semi-spontaneous, we mean that the researchers had control over the sentence structure of the utterances while at the same time keeping the data ecologically valid (Post & Nolan 2012). Following the methods described in Kainada and Baltazani (2013), we have created 15 pictures that the participants will have to describe. The material was divided in three equal groups, each containing 15 utterances, depending on the lengths of the utterances. The structure of the sentence was controlled by instructing the participants to always name the subjects displayed in the picture.

Well-established rhythm metrics (ΔC , %V, rPVI-C, nPVI-V, VarcoC, VarcoV, deltaPeak, VarcoPeak. Ramus et al., 1999, Grabe & Low, 2002, Dellwo, 2006, Dellwo et al., 2012a) were employed to measure the temporal characteristics of the shouted voice and the normal voice. Manual segmentation and labelling have been carried out by the research assistant together with the investigators based on the criterion described in Dellwo et al. (2012a, 2012b, 2012c).

Our preliminary findings indicate an effect on speech rhythm regularity. Additionally, between-speakers variability appears to be significant in shouted voice, as we have found in our previous research on normal voice (Dellwo et al. 2012a, Leemann et al. 2014).

References

- Dellwo, V. (2006). Rhythm and speech rate: a variation coefficient for deltaC. In P. Karnowski & I. Szigeti (Eds.), *Language and Language Processing*, 231-241, Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Dellwo, V., Leemann, A. and Kolly, M.-J. (2012a). Speaker idiosyncratic rhythm features in the speech signal. In *Interspeech*, Portland, USA.
- Dellwo, V., Kolly, M.-J. & Leemann, A. (2012b). Speaker identification based on speech temporal information: A forensic phonetic study of speech rhythm in the Zurich variety of Swiss German. Abstract presented at IAFPA 2012, Santander/Spain.
- Dellwo, V., Schmid, S., Leemann, A., Kolly, M.-J. & Müller, M. (2012c). Speaker identification based on speech rhythm: the case of bilinguals. Abstract presented at PoRT2012, Glasgow/UK.
- Grabe, E. and Low, E. L. (2002). Durational variability in speech and rhythm class hypothesis. In N. Warner & C. Gussenhoven (Eds.), *Papers in Laboratory*

Phonology 7, 515-543, Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

- Kainada, E. and Baltazani, M. (2013). Evaluating methods for eliciting dialectal speech.
 In M. Janse, B. Joseph, A. Ralli and M. Bagriacik (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Modern Greek dialects and Linguistic Theory*, 101-123.
- Leemann, A., Kolly, M.-J., and Dellwo, V. (2014). Speech-individuality in suprasegmental temporal features: implications for forensic voice comparison. *Forensic Sci. Int.*, **238**, 59-67.
- Post, B. and Nolan, F. (2012). Data collection for prosodic analysis of continuous speech and dialectal vatiation. In Cohn, A. G., Fougeron, C. and Huffman, M. K. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Laboratory Phonology*, 538-547. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ramus, F., Nespor, M. and Mehler, J. (1999). Correlates of linguistic rhythm in the speech signal. *Cognition*, **73**, 265-292.
- Traunmüller, H., and Eriksson, A. (2000). Acoustic effects of variation in vocal effort by men, women, and children. *J. Acoust Soc Am.*, **107**, 3438.